Assessment of Master Thesis

Master Thesis supervisor: Ing. Patrik Sieber, Ph.D.

Master Thesis author: Kateřina Grazová

Title: Potential use of PPP at the reconstruction of prison in Uherské Hradiště

Demand factors:		
Theoretical background	Average	Above - average
Data used	Average	Above average
Methodology used	Average	Above - average

Criterion	Scale				
	Excellent	Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Overall		Х			
objective					
achievement					
Self-reliance of			Х		
author					
Logical		Х			
structure					
Using of			X		
literature,					
citations					
Adequacy of		Х			
methods used					
Depth of			X		
analysis					
Form of MT: text,	X				
graphs, tables					
Felicitousness		Х			

Usefulness of results in practice and theory: average

Discussion topic for defense:

1) The cash flow is forecasted under the assumption that PSC and PPP alternative are mutually identical from the perspective of Investment and Operating flows. Are these flows in expected values? Is this in expected values likely? If the investment and operating flows are identical, under which circumstances the PPP alternative could be better?

2) You critically commented weaknesses of the chosen method of evaluation at the end of the thesis. Could you emphasize more, what is the biggest methodological weakness and how do you think it could/should be corrected?

3) What consequences you would expect in practice, if the methodological guide used in your thesis is widely applied on projects?

Master Thesis is recommended for the defense

Grade proposed Very good. Prague,