

Assessment of Master Thesis

Master Thesis opponent: doc. Ing. Jiří Hnilica, Ph.D.

Master Thesis author: Kateřina Grazová

Title: Potential use of PPP at the reconstruction of prison in Uherské Hradiště

Demand factors:		
Theoretical background	Average	Above average
Data used	Average	Above average
Methodology used	Average	Above average

Criterion	Scale			
	Excellent	Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Overall objective achievement			X	
Self-reliance of author			X	
Logical structure		X		
Using of literature, citations			X	
Adequacy of methods used			X	X
Depth of analysis			X	
Form of MT: text, graphs, tables	X			
Felicitousness		X		

Usefulness of results in practice and theory: average

Discussion topic for defense:

Dealing with risk and uncertainty (especially the quantification and its valuation) in the model is different in comparison with traditional understanding in economics and I may conclude that even incorrect. The risk transfer valuation influences availability payment, which determines the private partner return and total recommendation as well. Explain closer following issues, please:

1) The risk is viewed just from the worse scenario point of view, isn't it? Is that correct or incorrect from your perspective? Is the financial plan expressed in expected values of cash flow or it reflects differently defined scenario? How would be the risk and its valuation measured coherently with traditional theory? Would be the total recommendation the same?

2) There is just quantified the Y % probability of X % negative change of each factor determined in advance as a relevant (e.g. page 58, table 12). Would you be able to think about the whole probability distribution of these factors? How it would influence your financial plan and risk valuation? How it would affect the availability payment?

Master Thesis is **recommended for the defense**

Grade proposed: Satisfactory (Good).

Prague,

Signature of academic consultant