



«LEHRORGANISATION\_ENGLISH» - «JAHRGANG»

# evaluation of the master thesis.

«NAME\_MIT\_TITEL»

Student ID number: «MATRIKELNUMMER»

## **MCI MANAGEMENT CENTER INNSBRUCK**

Internationale Hochschule GmbH

Universitaetsstrasse 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

tel: «LEHRORGANISATION\_DW», fax: «LEHRORGANISATION\_FAX\_DW»

[www.mci.edu](http://www.mci.edu)

## EVALUATION OF THE MASTER THESIS

Master thesis title:	«MASTERARBEIT_TITEL»		
Examinee:	«NAME_MIT_TITEL»	Student ID number:	«MATRIKELNUMMER»
Supervisor:	«MASTERARBEIT_BETREUERIN»	Overall Grade:	

**SCORES Æ OVERALL GRADING SCALE:**

100 . 90	excellent (1)
89 . 80	good (2)
79 . 70	satisfactory (3)
69 . 60	sufficient (4)
59 . 0	insufficient (5)

City, Date: Innsbruck, 24. August 2013

Signature:                    0 .....  
                                  «MASTERARBEIT\_BETREUERIN»

**Unterschrift Begutachtung:**

«MASTERARBEIT\_GUTACHTERIN»

EVALUATION CRITERIA	REMARKS	POINTS (0-100)	FACTOR OF WEIGHTING	POINTS X WEIGHTING
<b>Quality regarding content</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Scientific foundation</li> <li>Quality of used references; adequacy of literature review</li> <li>Practical relevance</li> <li>Summary and reflection</li> <li>Individual contribution and independence in development of thesis</li> </ul>		95	0,5	
<b>Structural quality</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Structure and outline (clear, logical, comprehensible)</li> <li>Congruency of objective, layout and argumentation</li> <li>Approach to problem solving (process, applied method)</li> <li>Linkage of theory and practice</li> </ul>		95	0,3	
<b>Formal quality</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extent is consistent with specifications</li> <li>Correct and complete mode of citation</li> <li>Style and language</li> <li>Overall impression</li> </ul>		95	0,2	
<b>FINAL GRADE</b>		95	1,0	95

## OVERALL EVALUATION

### Intellectual capital in hospitals- Opportunities and limitations of external intellectual capital reporting

Ms. Barbara Weichselbaumer, BA

Master Thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree “Master of Arts (MA)”

#### Supervisor Justification

This note should be read in conjunction with the “Criteria for evaluation of the master thesis”.

Declaration: in my opinion, the student can be admitted for full consideration by the Commission.

Grading recommendation: my overall grade marking is 99%, placed within the “exellen” category of the MCI grading system.

#### Summary opinion

For background, during the thesis development period, Barbara was a pleasure to engage with: highly intelligent, diligent and interested. This made her easy to supervise, though she was quite prepared to challenge my views or advice as the work unfolded. We had a good relationship.

In terms of the Evaluation Criteria:

1. Structural quality. A literature survey synthesizes and evaluates according to the guiding concept of her research question
2. The author has formulated the problem absolutely clearly. The significance (scope, severity, relevance) is clearly established. The problem couldn't have been approached more effectively from another perspective.
3. Content. The material has been well-selected, arranged and set in context. Shee has read and used a wide range of literature from different sources
4. Formal quality The master's thesis demonstrates that the Mrs Weichselbaumer is capable of original and independent work

Dr. Antonovic

Saturday, 24 August 2013