University of Economics, Prague
Faculty of Business Administration

Master´s Thesis Evaluation by the Supervisor

Title of the Master´s Thesis:

Project Management and Problem Solving Methods in Management Consulting

Author of the Master´s Thesis:

Richard Gábor

Goals of the Master´s Thesis:

(Shortly defined): to describe, apply and analyse the suitable methods in the real life of management consulting

EVALUATION OF THE MASTERS´ THESIS	
Criteria (each max 10 points)	**Points awarded**
1. The goals of the thesis are evident and accomplished	9
2. Demands on the knowledge	8
3. Adequacy and the way of the methods used	8
4. Depth and relevance of the analysis in relation to goals	9
5. Making use of literature/other resources, citing	10
6. The thesis is a well-organised logical whole	9
7. Linguistic and terminological level	10
8. Formal layout and requirements, extent	9
9. Originality, i.e. it is produced by the student	10
10. Practical/theoretical relevance/applicability	8
Total score in points (max 100)	**90**
Final grading	*Excellent (1)*

Overall evaluation, additional questions or comments:

The author decided to work on an interesting and highlighted topic. The value added of the thesis and valuable contribution of the student is the combination of two management disciplines, namely of project management and of problem solving (decision making). My comments relate to the evaluation or at least to conclusion of the thesis. In this sense I miss here and would highly appreciate more critical evaluation and recommendation on how to improve used methods themselves or their application. Questions to be discussed during the defence: 1. State key specifics of project management in management consulting. 2. In order to use/implement more quantitative rather qualitative problem solving methods within a project, which methods - either project management methods or problem solving ones - you will recommend and why? 3. You used a set of methods for identifying a decision problem and finding a solution. From your consideration, is this set of methods sufficient enough, or do you see any not productive overlaps or even gaps? If so, how to overcome this weakness? The submitted thesis reveals that the author is able to define the right decision problem, search for relevant data, and apply his theoretical knowledge and application methods in business. The thesis declares that all four hypotheses were confirmed. I recommend therefore accepting the thesis for the defence.

The name of the supervisor:

Helena Hrůzová

The employer of the supervisor:

University of Economics, Prague

14 September 2011

Signature of the supervisor