

Posudek oponenta diplomové práce

Studijní program: **Mezinárodní ekonomické vztahy**

Studijní obor: **International and Diplomatic Studies**

Akademický rok: **2013/2014**

Název práce: **People's Republic of China at the United Nations-voting and how it corresponds to Chinese foreign policy**

Řešitel: **Bc. Zuzana Vedralová**

Vedoucí práce: **Mgr. Ing. Radka Druláková, Ph.D.**

Oponent: **Mgr. Nicolas Maslowski, Ph.D.**

	Hlediska	Stupeň hodnocení
1.	Stupeň splnění cíle práce	1
2.	Logická stavba práce	2
3.	Práce s literaturou, citace	1
4.	Adekvátnost použitých metod	1
5.	Hloubka analýzy ve vztahu k tématu	1
6.	Vlastní přístup k řešení	1
7.	Formální úprava práce	1
8.	Jazyková a stylistická úprava práce	1

Konkrétní připomínky a dotazy k práci:

Zuzana Vedralová wrote her Master thesis about "The People's Republic of China at the United Nations: Voting and how it corresponds to Chinese foreign policy". The thesis is quite well structured, it has a clear methodology, a clear reference to a theoretical framework, a convincing argumentation, a very interesting core of sources, and an adequate method to analyses them. Her work counts an introduction, 4 parts, a conclusion, a list of sources and an appendices. Zuzana Vedralová refer first of all to the George Tsebelis veto payers 'model. This frame of the game theory is applied to the Chinese vetoes to understand how this institution works. Then, the contradiction between the Chinese vetoes and their declare policy. This explanation is not in the illusory constitution of the doctrine, but on contrary, is based on the observation that the doctrine is generally applied. Therefore, those contradiction are explained as pragmatic moves, as a self-limitation in the application of its own doctrine by the popular republic of China, moves used without any excess. This work is excellent. It has some parts of contextualization that are more unfortunately descriptive, and I would enjoy to have some more pushed element of conclusions. The 4th chapter is short the represent the main little weakness in the structure.

My questions: 1. Can we expect that the distance between the Chinese doctrine and its veto will change with the failover of the power-relations to Asia? 2. The studied source has a global aspect. Do you think that the distance between the general doctrine and the pragmatic moves would be larger, if studying only the region?

Závěr: Diplomovou práci **doporučuji k obhajobě.**

Navrhovaná výsledná klasifikace práce: **1**

Datum: 09. 05. 2014

Mgr. Nicolas Maslowski, Ph.D.

oponent práce