

ASSESSMENT OF MASTER THESIS OF THE SUPERVISOR

Full name of the Student: Alexandru Lupan							
Master Thesis Topic: How to Maintain and Keep Low and Stable Inflation in Argentina							
Full name of the Supervisor: Dominik Stroukal							
Full name of the Opponent: Pavla Vozárová							
	Above		Bellow				
	Average	Average	Average				
Evaluation the topic itself (regardless of the student):		_	_				
1.1 How actual and significant is the topic?	X						
1.2 How difficult is the topic in terms of theoretical knowledge?							
1.3 How difficult is the issue in regards to the practical experier or field work?	nce	X					
1.4 How much difficulty does the student find the reference							
(support) materials?		X					
Point 1.4:The work is mostly theoretical and author had to use a substitution of the work is mainly theoretical level	cal the au	thor prove	ed to				
unaci siana ine topie even on praenear tever.							
2. Evaluation of the structure and coherence of work:							
2.1 How logical and clear is the structure of the work?	X						
2.2 How much does the author use current and adequate							
resources?	X						
2.3 How much enough and functionally equipped the author of his/her work especially not-copied graphs, tables, data,							
attachments, etc?	X						
2.4 What is the level of compatibility between the components of the baseline of work: the topic, the entire work,							
the objectives, the structure and the conclusions?	X						
Verbal evaluation (a few sentences), in particular:							

1

Instructions for processors: Author of the assessment must complete a verbal evaluation of designated evaluation points for the work, which are crucial for the defense, and therefore must have adequate explanatory power.

Point 2.1: The thesis has a standard academic structure with clear question and answer			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Point 2.4: From the name of the thesis until the very end the authoromyatibility between all parts of the work is really high	_		
If necessary. other points: Author uses plenty of resources which s			
3. Evaluation of the quality of the text of the work:			
3.1 How deeply and efficiently did the author analyzed the topic?		X	
3.2 How appropriately has the author chosen methods in relation			
to the topic?		X	
3.3 Has the author formulated the goal of the work clearly and			
is it logically structured?	X		
3.4 To what level has the author fulfilled his/her goals and work			
according to the approved thesis assignment,			
which is part of the goal?		X	
3.5 How logically and well-structured are the conclusions of			
work and which level of added value does his/her thesis contain?		X	
Verbal evaluation (a few sentences), in particular: Point 3.3: The goal is clearly stated even in the very name of the times at places where it makes sense.	•••••		
		•••••	
			•••••
Point 3.4: The goal of the thesis is ambitious and author clearly k developed a set of tools how to find out whether he fulfilled his go agreed that he did.	oal or n	ot and it	can be
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
Point 3.5: It cannot be expected that the work will change moneta is a good and firm contribution to the discussion.			
	•••••	•••••	•••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
2			

Instructions for processors: Author of the assessment must complete a verbal evaluation of designated evaluation points for the work, which are crucial for the defense, and therefore must have adequate explanatory power.

If necessary, other points:						
	•••••					
	•••••	•••••				
4 E 1 4 C41 C 1 4 1 C 1						
4. Evaluation of the form and style of work	V					
4.1 How if the formal adjustment of the work?	X					
4.2 What is the quality of citations and references?						
Are they identifiable sources?	X					
4.3 How is the stylistic level of the work, especially using						
the right economic terminology?	X					
Verbal evaluation (a few sentences), in particular:						
Point 4.2: References are standard and identifiable						
If necessary. other points: The style of the thesis is academic and						
author has experience with reading and writing academic pape						
continue in it	•••••	••••••				
	•••••					
	•••••	•••••	•••••			
5 Oward Evaluation (It is necessary to clarify and specify	xxyb atb an	the vyerly	(the thegis)			
5. Overall Evaluation (It is necessary to clarify and specify						
meets the requirements from the point of view of its content, so						
formalities according to the methodology of the faculty of						
mentioned if work (the thesis) is recommended or not for,		eiense. It	can also be			
recommended for any special award or project (or something si		C 11.1				
The work is overall very good in contents and formally excellen						
requirements and I recommend it for defense as an excellent wo	•					
defense)	•••••					
	•••••					
	•••••		•••••			
	•••••					
-						
6. Questions for the defense:						
Do you think that the political very contation (and the central h	ank) wa	uld agree	with would			
Do you think that the political representation (and the central b						
position? Why?						
Is there a country similar to Argenting which can be compared						
Is there a country similar to Argentina which can be compared						
natural experiment? Would it make sense?	•••••	••••••	•••••			

3

Instructions for processors: Author of the assessment must complete a verbal evaluation of designated evaluation points for the work, which are crucial for the defense, and therefore must have adequate explanatory power.

Suggested Grade: Excellent	
Datum:20.2.2016	Signature of thesis supervisor