

ASSESSMENT OF MASTER THESIS OF THE OPPONENT

Full name of the Student: Alexandru Lupan			
Master Thesis Topic: How to Maintain and Keep Low and Stab			
Full name of the Supervisor: Dominik Stroukal			
Full name of the Opponent: Pavla Vozárová			
	Above	A	Bellow
Evaluation the topic itself (regardless of the student):	Average	Average	Average
	\boxtimes		
1.1 How actual and significant is the topic?			
1.2 How difficult is the topic in terms of theoretical knowledge	? ⊠		
1.3 How difficult is the issue in regards to the practical experier	nce		
or field work?	\boxtimes		
1.4 How much difficulty does the student find the reference			
(support) materials?		\boxtimes	
If necessary, other points: The goal of the thesis is very ambitio master level student. The topic is very wide and the question an	_	•	
2. Evaluation of the structure and coherence of work:			
2.1 How logical and clear is the structure of the work?	\boxtimes		
2.2 How much does the author use current and adequate			
resources?		\boxtimes	
2.3 How much enough and functionally equipped the author of his/her work especially not-copied graphs, tables, data,			
attachments, etc?	\boxtimes		
2.4 What is the level of compatibility between the components of the baseline of work: the topic, the entire work,			
the objectives, the structure and the conclusions?	\boxtimes		
1			

1

Instructions for processors: Author of the assessment must complete a verbal evaluation of designated evaluation points for the work, which are crucial for the defense, and therefore must have adequate explanatory power.

Verbal evaluation (a few sentences), in particular: Point 2.1: The thesis is quite nice to read, since its structure is ver Point 2.4: The structure of the thesis clearly leads the reader from of the topic through its detailed description to author's own concl If necessary. other points:	introdu usions	ctory prese	entation
3. Evaluation of the quality of the text of the work:			
3.1 How deeply and efficiently did the author analyzed the topic?3.2 How appropriately has the author chosen methods in relation		\boxtimes	
to the topic?		\boxtimes	
3.3 Has the author formulated the goal of the work clearly and			
is it logically structured? 3.4 To what level has the author fulfilled his/her goals and work according to the approved thesis assignment,			
which is part of the goal? 3.5 How logically and well-structured are the conclusions of work and which level of added value does his/her thesis			
contain?		\boxtimes	
Verbal evaluation (a few sentences), in particular: Point 3.3: The goal of the thesis is clearly formulated at the very be Point 3.4: It is clear that the author spent a lot of time studying the conclusions. For a master student, it is a satisfactory work. Howe the subject, the conclusions are a little bit too simplistic	e topic a ver, give	nd formula n the comp	ting the
Point 3.5: It is not clearly stated what the most important contribution and how much value added it brings in addition to other materials conclusions are sometimes a bit contradictory (e.g., it is hard for a government can attract FDI in infrastructure projects in which it reduce public expenditure at the same time.)	ition of the second sec	he thesis sh ned there. T ne how Arge lso take pa	The ntine rt and

4. Evaluation of the form and style of work

2

Instructions for processors: Author of the assessment must complete a verbal evaluation of designated evaluation points for the work, which are crucial for the defense, and therefore must have adequate explanatory power.

4.1 How if the formal adjustment of the work?4.2 What is the quality of citations and references?			
Are they identifiable sources? 4.3 How is the stylistic level of the work, especially using			
the right economic terminology?	\boxtimes		
Verbal evaluation (a few sentences), in particular: Point 4.2: Citations and references follow usual standards If necessary. other points: The thesis is written in a pretty go time to time there are some smaller mistakes (e.g., the author expressions "effective" and "efficient").	od English, · confuses sy	even thou ystematic	igh from ally the
5. Overall Evaluation (It is necessary to clarify and specimeets the requirements from the point of view of its content, formalities according to the methodology of the faculty of mentioned if work (the thesis) is recommended or not for recommended for any special award or project (or something The thesis satisfies all formal requirements, and it is thus my for defense. It is a fairly written text, in which the author unfor far too complex for such a limited analysis. Even though a low issue, some of the conclusions seem to be a little bit naïve. It comparison with other countries, where the suggested policies success. However, given that it is still only a master thesis, I have the suggested policies and the suggested policies success.	scope, qual of Economic or, their de similar): great pleas ortunately to tof time was m missing es were app	ity and of cs. It sho fense. It ure to recackles issues the clearly lied with a	ther required buld also be can also be commend it wes that are spent on the some or without
6. Questions for the defense: In your thesis, you suggest three streams of policies with whi			
inflation. There are some contradictions between these three reconcile them?		ow would	you
Do you have any examples of countries that implemented suc		me of the	policies
In your opinion, why did not the Argentine government imple that you propose in your thesis?			
In your thesis, you mention opinions of several economic exp institutions, but you do not talk about predictions of Argentin what the position of this institution is regarding the issue that	erts from in e central bo	ternation ank. Do ye	al ou know
Suggested Grade: Very good: 89 - 75			
Datum: February 22, 2016	 Signature o	f thesis o	pponent

3

Instructions for processors: Author of the assessment must complete a verbal evaluation of designated evaluation points for the work, which are crucial for the defense, and therefore must have adequate explanatory power.