

INTERNATIONAL HEALTH & SOCIAL MANAGEMENT - 20 15

## **evaluation of the master thesis.**

BC. ANETA SUPOVÁ

Student ID number: 1500360023

**MCI MANAGEMENT CENTER INNSBRUCK**

Internationale Hochschule GmbH

Universitaetsstrasse 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

tel: +43 512 2070-3700, fax: -3799

[www.mci.edu](http://www.mci.edu)

## SCORES:

100	-	90	excellent (1)
89	-	80	good (2)
79	-	70	satisfactory (3)
69	-	60	sufficient (4)
59	-	0	unsatisfactory (5)

## OVERALL CLASSIFICATION OF THE QUALIFICATION:

**"passed"** in case of positive assessment

**"passed with distinction"** in case of performance significantly above average (80% and more)

**„passed with honors"** in case of excellent performance (90% and more)

*The examination concluding Master or Diploma study program is a general examination pursuant to Art. 3 para. 2(6) FH Studies Act. It comprises the following parts:*

- *a Master or Diploma thesis (1/3 weighting) and*
- *an oral examination held before a committee (2/3 weighting).*

*The oral examination shall be held before an examining committee that has a relevant expertise. The oral examination is comprised of the following three parts*

- *presentation of the Master or Diploma thesis (25% weighting),*
- *discussion of links between the thesis and relevant items in the curriculum (25% weighting), and*
- *discussion of other items of relevance to the curriculum (50% weighting).*

## EVALUATION OF THE MASTER THESIS

Master thesis title:	Analysis of health related financial flows and patient satisfaction with private health care in the Czech Republic		
Examinee:	Bc. Aneta Supová	Student ID number:	1500360023
Supervisor:	Dr. phil. Mgr. Bc. Vladan Antonovic, Ph.D.	Overall Grade:	

### SCORES – OVERALL GRADING SCALE:

100 – 90	excellent (1)
89 – 80	good (2)
79 – 70	satisfactory (3)
69 – 60	sufficient (4)
59 – 0	insufficient (5)

City, Date:

Signature:

.....  
.....  
Dr. phil. Mgr. Bc. Vladan Antonovic, Ph.D.

EVALUATION CRITERIA	REMARKS	POINTS (0-100)	FACTOR OF WEIGHTING	POINTS X WEIGHTING
<b>Quality regarding content</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Scientific foundation</li> <li>Quality of used references; adequacy of literature review</li> <li>Practical relevance</li> <li>Summary and reflection</li> <li>Individual contribution and independence in development of thesis</li> </ul>		99	0,5	
<b>Structural quality</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Structure and outline (clear, logical, comprehensible)</li> <li>Congruency of objective, layout and argumentation</li> <li>Approach to problem solving (process, applied method)</li> <li>Linkage of theory and practice</li> </ul>		99	0,3	
<b>Formal quality</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extent is consistent with specifications</li> <li>Correct and complete mode of citation</li> <li>Style and language</li> <li>Overall impression</li> </ul>		99	0,2	
<b>FINAL GRADE</b>		99	1,0	

## OVERALL EVALUATION

This Master Thesis focus on health related financial flows and patient satisfaction with privately owned hospitals in the Czech Republic. The main aim was to provide a general overview of health care system in the Czech Republic, to describe how the health care system is financed, and to find out if patients hospitalized in privately owned hospitals are more satisfied with the care provided in this type of hospitals. Having analyzed the major statistical reports from the WHO, EU, and the Institution of Health Information and Statistics of the Czech Republic, the theoretical part provided a comprehensive summary of health definitions, health financial flows, and pricing of health treatments. The practical part, on the other hand, shows distribution of health costs among differently aged people in the form of contribution/expenditure ratio. Finally, the results of the questionnaires conducted among patients in privately owned hospitals enabled to conclude that patients in the Czech Republic are still not fully aware of the difference between privately and publically owned hospitals