

## Erasmus Mundus Joint Master Degree in Economics of Globalisation and European Integration

## Academic Year 2015-2016

#### DISSERTATION EVALUATION READER'S FORM

# Dissertation title: Immigration policy review and comparison of Germany, Italy and the Netherlands

## Student's name: Ha An Vo

## Reader's name: Ilya Bolotov

## Here is brief reminder of the general instructions:

- 1) The dissertation should:
  - a. have an original empirical part, albeit of limited scope, OR
  - b. (in the best of cases) contribute to theory, OR
  - c. be a 'meta-empirical' study, i.e. a comparative study of empirical results, with particular care to synthesis, OR
  - d. be a thorough critical survey of the literature (empirical and/or theoretical).
- 2) The length of the dissertation should be kept within well-defined limits (8,000 to 12,000 words). Quality before quantity.
- 3) There should be proper attention to the citation of sources in footnotes or endnotes. The list of references should be carefully made.
- 4) The supervisor and the readers of the dissertation may perform checks on plagiarism. Citations should be made very explicit with quotation marks, indented text and quotation of the source in the main text. Quotations should be limited. Attempts of plagiarism will be severely dealt with, according to the examination regulations.

According to these general guidelines, please report the <u>final overall grade</u> on the next page, using the following grading system:

- 5 = 'excellent' (outstanding performance with no or only minor errors);
- 4,5/4 = 'very good' (above the average standard but with some errors);
- **3,5 / 3** = 'good' (generally sound work with a number of notable errors);
- **2,5 / 2** = 'satisfactory/sufficient' (pass; performance meets the minimum requirements);
- **1,5/1** = 'not sufficient' (marginal fail);
- **o,5 / o** = 'poor' (fail).

In order to determine the final overall grade, it may be helpful to mark the dissertation on each one of the specific aspects mentioned on the next page, when they are relevant for the dissertation. However, the final grade does not necessarily have to be the simple average of these partial grades.

For the final overall grade you can use only integers or half-integers. <u>To insert your mark, first click on "Choose a</u> mark", then click on the arrow on the right, and finally select your mark from the drop-down list.

A short motivation of your grade will be much appreciated.

FINAL	OVERALL GRADE:	

4

Detailed appreciation:

Item	Mark (o to 5)
Presentation	4.5
Is the dissertation well organised?	4.0
Is the list of references well organised?	4.5
Are the tables and figures well-presented and appropriately referenced?	5.0
Does the dissertation fit in the 12,000 worlds limit? (Penalise if you think the limit has not been reasonably respected.)	5.0
Literature review and references	4.0
Is there a good enough coverage of the literature that is reviewed?	5.0
Are the main relevant contributions included in the list of references?	5.0
Does the literature review clearly present the main questions and results of the literature?	2.0
Models and theoretical analysis	4.0
Is the choice of assumptions clearly motivated?	4.0
Is the choice of assumptions relevant?	4.0
If there are, what is the quality of the proofs?	4.0
Econometric analysis	0.0
Is the choice of the econometric model a relevant one?	0.0
Is the choice of econometric methods appropriate?	0.0
Are the main econometric problems (e.g. endogeneity) well dealt with?	0.0
Argumentation	4.0
Is the dissertation well motivated?	4.0
Is the argumentation well-presented and clear?	4.0
What is the quality of the interpretation of the main results?	4.0
What is the degree of originality of the work?	4.0
What is the potential of the dissertation to lead to publication in an academic journal?	2.0
Working on the dissertation	
Has the student regularly worked on the dissertation all along the year?	
Was the student regularly in touch with the supervisor?	
Was the student understanding and taking account of the supervisor's remarks?	
Was the student really autonomous?	

#### Your general appreciation:

The dissertation is an ample study on immigration and immigration policies in the three selected European countries. The chosen method of study is the a) overview of existing theories of migration, b) case studies on Germany, Italy and Netherlands using analysis and induction (abduction) as methods. The theoretical model is vaguely implemented making the dissertation a comparative study. No econometric model is used.

What I liked in the thesis:

• The choice of a topical issue, clear structure of chapters, adequate usage of citations, graphs, tables with proper data sources, well-funded conclusions, and the author's own opinion on the topic.

#### What I think would need improvement:

• The complete exclusion of the current EU immigration crisis downgrades the "topicality" of the problem (maybe some reference to it would not do harm to the analysis), dependence mostly on Czech sources in case of the theory (the reference list is on the contrary well-balanced), absence of significant own value added (comparison of three countries may not have been done before, but there's little contribution to the theory of or policy on migration – see the requirements above), and problems with the formal side.

Therefore, I consider the grade 4.0 well justified for this dissertation.

Reader's signature: