

Posudek oponenta diplomové práce

Studijní program: **Mezinárodní ekonomické vztahy** Studijní obor: **International and Diplomatic Studies**

Akademický rok: 2016/2017

Název práce: International Organizations and Their National Branches: The Case of UNICEF and

the Slovak National Committee for UNICEF

Řešitel: Michaela Zemanová

Vedoucí práce: prof. PhDr. Vladimíra Dvořáková, CSc.

Oponent: Ing. Petr Vymětal, Ph.D.

	Hlediska	Stupeň hodnocení
1.	Stupeň splnění cíle práce	2
2.	Logická stavba práce	2
3.	Práce s literaturou, citace	2
4.	Adekvátnost použitých metod	3
5.	Hloubka analýzy ve vztahu k tématu	3
6.	Vlastní přístup k řešení	3
7.	Formální úprava práce	2
8.	Jazyková a stylistická úprava práce	2

Konkrétní připomínky a dotazy k práci:

Thesis proposed deals with the role and position of the international NGOs in the international organization structure and shed a light on its role. It is demonstrated and the main focus is dedicated to the special role UNICEF at the international level and at the level of Slovak Republic. The goal is set clearly, but in my opinion it can be set more ambitious. The author sets several questions that have to be helpful in the effort of fulfilling the goal set.

The thesis has logical structure in terms of main chapters name. First chapter introduces the theory of international organizations, mainly in terms of INGOs, voluntary organizations and non-for-profit organizations. The author's aim is also to define all those types of international organisations for later purpose. Second chapter goes more specifically to the "children issue" and follows the logic from general to more specific. She spend a lot of pages by description of historical roots and development, but also there are specific names, internal structure etc. that is often burdensome and the author then looses a track in the key argumentation. Third chapter deals with the situation in Slovakia and describe the projects supported by UNICEF in Slovakia.

The content of the thesis is a little bit imbalanced. The first and second chapter are the best part of the thesis, however there is no added value and are very descriptive. The second chapter is for me little bit confusing. Author sunk in many details that are not necessary presented in good way (why the data at page 28 are not simply presented in table, why the graph on page 34 bear the same information presented a page before, ...), but on the other hand she proposes only a list of problems rather a deep analysis. The problem is presentation of Theoretical framework of the Third Sector and NGOs that is very brief and is not used later. For a reader it is not easy to orient in the text because the author do not highlight any important issues. Sometimes it seems the author was really in hurry to finish the subchapters.

The language and stylistic of the text is acceptable, as well as the formal point of the thesis. The author cites and the list of references is included (maybe I will appreciate a better structuring of the sources).

Finally, I recommend the thesis for a defend and propose to grade it between VERY GOOD and GOOD depending of the defend.

QUESTIONS: 1) Are there any other topics that the Slovakian UNICEF initiated/opened at the international level? 2) What do you see as the biggest problem UNICEF in Slovakia is facing?

Závěr: Diplomovou práci doporučují k obhajobě.

Navrhovaná výsledná klasifikace práce:

Datum: 7. 6. 2017

Ing. Petr Vymětal, Ph.D.

oponent práce