

Posudek oponenta diplomové práce

Studijní program: **Mezinárodní ekonomické vztahy** Studijní obor: **International and Diplomatic Studies**

Akademický rok: 2017/2018

Název práce: EUROPEAN COMMON TRADE POLICY: KEY INSTRUMENT AND THEIR

APPLICATION TOWARDS EMERGING POWERS

Řešitel: Viktoriia Panfilova

Vedoucí práce: doc. Ing. Pavel Hnát, Ph.D.

Oponent: Mgr. Jarolím Antal, Ph.D.

	Hlediska	Stupeň hodnocení
1.	Stupeň splnění cíle práce	3
2.	Logická stavba práce	2
3.	Práce s literaturou, citace	3
4.	Adekvátnost použitých metod	3
5.	Hloubka analýzy ve vztahu k tématu	3
6.	Vlastní přístup k řešení	3
7.	Formální úprava práce	2
8.	Jazyková a stylistická úprava práce	2

Konkrétní připomínky a dotazy k práci:

The thesis approaches the EU's Common Trade Policy, its scope, evolution and its role in bilateral trade relations of the EU with other countries (particularly with the emerging markets). Structure of the thesis is logical, after the Policy is presented, the author examines the trade relations with crucial partners and selected regions. The last part focuses on on trade relations with India and Brazil. The topics EU's trade itself is very complex and I dare to say, for the scope of the thesis too boradly defined at the very beginning. The core part of thesis (the 3rd chapter) includes 14 pages only and the main priorities of the EU in the trade relations/agreements with India and Brazil and obstacles and main issues in the relations drawn by author lack more attention.

The entire paper lacks more concrete goals, which could be a subject of more complex analysis. The third part has a great potential for this however has be used only in a very limited way. In general the quality of the thesis is average.

I suggest grade 3.

Datum: 15, 5, 2018

1. Explain main priorities of the EU's Trade strategy "Trade for all" 2. Specify main issues affecting EU-Brazil trade liberalization of trade relations and suggest possible scenarios for further developments.

Závěr: Diplomovou práci doporučuji k obhajobě.

Navrhovaná výsledná klasifikace práce: 3

Mgr. Jarolím Antal, Ph.D.

oponent práce