

Master's Thesis Evaluation by the Opponent

Title of the Master's Thesis:

Current practices in recruitment and selection and the case of FC

Author of the Master's Thesis:

Marouk van Dam

Goals of the Master's Thesis:

- Determine ~~whether~~ weather we should ~~of~~ adopt new technologies in our recruitment processes

EVALUATION OF THE MASTERS' THESIS	
Criteria (each max 10 points)	Points awarded
1. The goals of the thesis are evident and accomplished	8
2. Demands on the knowledge	9
3. Adequacy and the way of the methods used	8
4. Depth and relevance of the analysis in relation to goals	7.5
5. Making use of literature/other resources, citing	9
6. The thesis is a well-organised logical whole	9
7. Linguistic and terminological level	10
8. Formal layout and formal requirements, extent	10
9. Originality, i.e. it is produced by the student	10
10. Practical/theoretical relevance/applicability	8
Total score in points (max 100)	0
Final grading	8.85 (9)

Overall evaluation, additional questions or comments:

+ Extensive literature review!
+ Many different sources used (literature, interviews with experts, etc.)
+ Strong analysis of combined sources
+ Clear ~~clear~~ recommendation towards FC
- Final analysis and conclusion could ~~but~~ have looked a little further into potential consequences of implementation

The name of the opponent:


Leanne van Nuenen

The employer of the opponent:

FrieslandCampina

I honestly declare that I am not in any allied relationship with the author of this Master's Thesis.

23 May 2018


Signature of the opponent

Master's Thesis Evaluation by the Opponent

Title of the Master's Thesis:

Current practices in recruitment and selection and the case of FC

Author of the Master's Thesis:

Marouk van Dam

Goals of the Master's Thesis:

- Determine ~~whether~~ weather we should ~~of~~ adopt new technologies in our recruitment processes

EVALUATION OF THE MASTERS' THESIS	
Criteria (each max 10 points)	Points awarded
1. The goals of the thesis are evident and accomplished	8
2. Demands on the knowledge	9
3. Adequacy and the way of the methods used	8
4. Depth and relevance of the analysis in relation to goals	7.5
5. Making use of literature/other resources, citing	9
6. The thesis is a well-organised logical whole	9
7. Linguistic and terminological level	10
8. Formal layout and formal requirements, extent	10
9. Originality, i.e. it is produced by the student	10
10. Practical/theoretical relevance/applicability	8
Total score in points (max 100)	0
Final grading	8.85 (9)

Overall evaluation, additional questions or comments:

+ Extensive literature review!
+ Many different sources used (literature, interviews with experts, etc.)
+ Strong analysis of combined sources
+ Clear ~~clear~~ recommendation towards FC
- Final analysis and conclusion could ~~not~~ have looked a little further into potential consequences of implementation

The name of the opponent:


Leanne van Nuenen

The employer of the opponent:

FrieslandCampina

I honestly declare that I am not in any allied relationship with the author of this Master's Thesis.

23 May 2018


Signature of the opponent