

Bachelor's Thesis Evaluation by the Opponent

Title of the Bachelor's Thesis:

Wild animal translocation and transportation, its challenges, risks and prevention

Author of the Bachelor's Thesis:

Lizaveta Yermakova

EVALUATION OF THE BACHELOR'S THESIS	
Criteria (each max 10 points)	Points awarded
1. The goals of the thesis are evident and accomplished	9
2. Demands on the acquisition of additional knowledge or skills	8
3. Adequacy and the way of the methods used	7
4. Depth and relevance of the analysis in relation to goals	8
5. Making use of literature/other resources, citing	9
6. The thesis is a well-organised logical whole	7
7. Linguistic and terminological level	8
8. Formal layout and requirements, extent	4
9. Originality, i.e. it is produced by the student	7
10. Practical/theoretical relevance/applicability	7
Total score in points (max 100)	74
Final grading	<i>dobře (3)</i>

Overall evaluation (cca 200 words):

The thesis's length is only 22 pages - the requirements given for bachelor theses are not met. In the theoretical part, an analysis of live animals transportation generally, including key institutions like EAZA and EEP and legislation like IATA LAR. In the second (practical) part, formed by chapter 7, the author presents the case-study of tapir transportaton from the Czech Republic to Taiwan. Text of the thesis is accompanied with tables and pictures, but for example more pictures in application part could have been added (loading units used, aircraft used, loading procedures etc.). The terminology is not problematic. Globally, the language is on an average level. Formal requirements are not met perfectly: incorrect degree of the thesis's supervisor, text formatting, questionable structure at the beginning of the thesis (why the Contents is after chapter Introduction?), relevance of Appendix 1 for the topic, incorrect titles of some pictures (in Appendix 2 - no cargo compartments schemes are placed). The thesis is mainly descriptive. In application part, the technological process of chosen transportation could have been done better. /// I recommend the thesis for the defense.

Question/s from the opponent:

Regarding air-conditioning/heating possibilities of the B-777 and B-737 cargo-holds - can the live-animals be really transported in both FWD and AFT lower holds of both aircrafts considered?

The name of the opponent:

Ing. Bedřich E. Rathouský, Ph.D.

The employer of the opponent:

Department of logistics, Faculty of Business Administration, University of Economics Prague

I honestly declare that I am not in any allied relationship with the author of this Bachelor's Thesis.

13 June 2018

Signature of the opponent