evaluation of the master's thesis.

BARBORA SULIKOVA

Student ID number: 1710622003

MCI MANAGEMENT CENTER INNSBRUCK
Internationale Hochschule GmbH
Universitaetsstrasse 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
tel: +43 512 2070-3100, fax: -3199
www.mci.edu

EVALUATION OF THE MASTER'S THESIS

Master's thesis title:	The Blockchain-Enablement Supply Chain and its impact on sourcing and transactional functions of the procurement process		
Examinee:	Barbora Sulikova	Student ID number:	1710622003
Supervisor:	FH-Prof. Dr. Dietmar Kilian	Overall Grade:	Good (2)

SCORES – OVERALL GRADING SCALE:

100 – 90   excellent (1)
 89 – 80   good (2)
 79 – 70   satisfactory (3)
 69 – 60   sufficient (4)
 59 – 0   unsatisfactory (5)

City, Date: Innsbruck, 5th of October 2018

Signature: …………………………………………………………………….........................
                          FH-Prof. Dr. Dietmar Kilian

EVALUATION CRITERIA	COMMENTS	MAX. %	REACHED %
Conception and Definition of Topic			
▪ The topic chosen is relevant, up-to-date and contributes to the current state of research ▪ Topic is clearly defined and delimitated from other subject areas; the purpose of the thesis is clear ▪ The problem statement is formulated precisely and deduced from theory and practice ▪ The aims of the thesis are clearly defined; significant and challenging claim ▪ Research question(s) is narrowed down with a clear focus; link to problem definition is clearly comprehensible	The content was well chosen the topic is up-to-date, it was clearly defined and the research question clearly defined.	15	**14**
Theoretical Approach			
▪ A relevant theoretical framework is demonstrated; the current state of research in the respective research area is presented (theoretical background, empirical studies etc.) ▪ An appropriate number of sources has been used to present the theoretical background; the sources meet the quality criteria for scientific references ▪ Clear link between the theoretical discourse presented and the underlying research question ▪ Argumentation is given in a structured and logical way; meaningful connections between and among ideas are made ▪ Analysis/synthesis/evaluation/interpretation of theoretical input is effective and consistent ▪ Independent thinking is evident; content of literature sources is not only summed up	The content processing has been successful and the individual topics have been clearly described and the literature has been integrated	15	**14**

Method/Approach			
▪ The chosen method(s) is/are adequate for answering the underlying research question ▪ The chosen method(s) (with reference to data collection and analysis) is/are correctly applied ▪ Documentation of the method(s) applied is profound and transparent	The theoretical implementation was chosen well	20	**15**
Results			
▪ The results are completely, accurately and appropriately displayed ▪ The analysis is objective / respectively intersubjective and transparent ▪ The argumentation is consistent and of high quality	The results are clear and comprehensible	20	**16**
Discussion			
▪ The results are discussed and interpreted with reference to the problem statement and the fulfillment of the aims (including the leading research question(s)) ▪ The results are compared with the theory previously presented ▪ The method/approach is critically reflected (limitations) ▪ Implications for theory and practice are carefully considered and presented (future research and managerial implications)	The discussion of the results is theory and practice-oriented	20	**16**

Formal Aspects			
▪ Overall lay-out is appropriate (type face, lists, tables, figures etc.) ▪ The overall structure of the thesis is clear and appropriate; meaningful paragraphing; good use of connectives; complete directories/appendices ▪ Correct application of APA and MCI standards throughout thesis (text, figures, tables) ▪ Reference list and additional relevant lists are complete and formulated according to APA standards ▪ Vocabulary/Grammar: Wide range of vocabulary; accurate form and usage, hardly any errors of agreement, spelling mistakes; clear meaning; accurate use of grammar and structure	Very good implementation and clean elaboration only minor errors	10	**8**
OVERALL ASSESSMENT		100	**83**

CONCLUDING EVALUATION

Valuable points:
- Good description of the theoretical background
- Practical with good results

Points to be improved:
- perhaps more depth in the the analysis
-